Civilian Review Board of Baltimore City Semi-Annual Statistical Report January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008

From January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008 80 complaints were filed.

The $\underline{80}$ complaints processed in this review period compares with $\underline{98}$ complaints filed in the preceding six months.

Additional statistical information for the period is still under review.

Civilian Review Board of Baltimore City Semi-Annual Statistical Report January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008

In the six month period from January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008, the Civilian Review Board reviewed $\underline{56}$ completed investigations submitted by the Internal Affairs Division of the Baltimore Police Department. The Board reviewed $\underline{1}$ completed investigation from the Baltimore School Police, $\underline{0}$ from the Sheriff's Office, and $\underline{0}$ Housing Authority Police of Baltimore. The total number of allegations reviewed by the Board for the period was $\underline{139}$. The total number of complaints reviewed was $\underline{57}$.

Of the <u>57</u> complaints reviewed for the period, none was actually filed during this period.

Of the <u>54</u> allegations of excessive force reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that <u>0</u> of the allegations was UNFOUNDED, <u>53</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> was EXONERATED, and <u>1</u> was SUSTAINED. For the same <u>54</u> allegations of Excessive Force, the Civilian Review Board found <u>46</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> EXONERATED, and <u>8</u> were SUSTAINED.

Of the <u>47</u> allegations of Abusive Language reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that <u>4</u> were UNFOUNDED, <u>42</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, and <u>1</u> was SUSTAINED. For the same <u>47</u> allegations of Abusive Language the Civilian Review Board found <u>40</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> was EXONERATED, and <u>7</u> were SUSTAINED.

Of the <u>27</u> allegations of Harassment reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that <u>7</u> of the allegations were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>20</u> were UNFOUNDED, and <u>0</u> were SUSTAINED. For the same <u>27</u> allegations of Harassment, the Civilian Review Board found <u>26</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>1</u> EXONERATED, and <u>0</u> was SUSTAINED.

Civilian Review Board of Baltimore City Semi-Annual Statistical Report Continued January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008 Page 2

Of the $\underline{7}$ allegations of False Arrest reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that $\underline{2}$ of the allegations were NOT SUSTAINED, $\underline{5}$ were UNFOUNDED, and $\underline{0}$ was SUSTAINED. For the same $\underline{7}$ allegations of False Arrest the Civilian Review Board found $\underline{4}$ were NOT SUSTAINED, $\underline{0}$ was EXONERATED, and $\underline{3}$ were SUSTAINED.

Of the $\underline{4}$ allegations of False Imprisonment reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that $\underline{0}$ of the allegations were NOT SUSTAINED, $\underline{4}$ were UNFOUNDED and $\underline{0}$ was SUSTAINED. For the same $\underline{4}$ allegations of False Imprisonment the Civilian Review Board found $\underline{4}$ were NOT SUSTAINED, $\underline{0}$ was EXONERATED, and $\underline{0}$ was SUSTAINED.

During this same period (January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008) <u>80</u> complaints were received by the Civilian Review Board against members of the Baltimore City Police Department. There was <u>0</u> complaints filed against the School Police, Sheriff's Office, and Housing Authority Police.

Of the <u>80</u> complaints processed by the Board, a simultaneous investigation was requested to be done by the Board's investigators on <u>40</u> complaints.

The 80 complaints contacted approximately 172 allegations.

The <u>80</u> complaints processed in this review period compares with <u>98</u> filed in the preceding six-month period.